

# Overview and Scrutiny Management Board Agenda



**Date:** Monday, 3 September 2018

**Time:** 3.00 pm

**Venue:** Committee Room, City Hall, College Green,  
Bristol

## **Distribution:**

**Councillors:** Geoff Gollop, Estella Tincknell, Donald Alexander, Tom Brook, Stephen Clarke, Claire Hiscott, Gary Hopkins, Carole Johnson, Paula O'Rourke, Celia Phipps and Jo Sergeant

**Copies to:** Mike Jackson (Executive Director of Resources and Head of Paid Service), Denise Murray (Service Director - Finance & Section 151 Officer), Patsy Mellor (Director: Communities), Colin Molton (Executive Director: Growth and Regeneration (Interim)), Jacqui Jensen, Andrea Dell (Service Manager Democratic Engagement), Lucy Fleming (Democratic and Scrutiny Manager), Ian Hird (Democratic Services Officer), Johanna Holmes (Policy Advisor - Scrutiny), Denise Murray (Service Director - Finance & Section 151 Officer), David Fowler (Members' Office Manager (Conservative)), Stephen Fulham, Zarah Jama, Paul Shanks and Anne Addison

**Issued by:** Lucy Fleming, Democratic Services

City Hall, PO Box 3167, Bristol, BS3 9FS

Tel: 0117 9222483

E-mail: [democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk)

**Date:** 23<sup>rd</sup> August 18



# Agenda

## 1. Welcome, Introductions and Safety Information

(Pages 4 - 5)

## 2. Apologies for absence

## 3. Declarations of Interest

To note any declarations of interest from the Councillors. They are asked to indicate the relevant agenda item, the nature of the interest and in particular whether it is a **disclosable pecuniary interest**.

Any declarations of interest made at the meeting which is not on the register of interests should be notified to the Monitoring Officer for inclusion.

## 4. Minutes of the previous meeting

Overview and Scrutiny Management Board – 12<sup>th</sup> April 18 – *to follow*  
Overview and Scrutiny Management Board – 18<sup>th</sup> June 18 – *to follow*  
Call in Sub Committee 26<sup>th</sup> June 18

(Pages 6 - 11)

## 5. Chair's Business

To note any announcements from the Chair

## 6. Public Forum

Up to 30 minutes is allowed for this item

Please note that all of the reports on the agenda for this meeting (3<sup>rd</sup> September 18) relate to decisions that will be made by the Cabinet at the meeting on 4<sup>th</sup> September 18. In view of this, public forum on those items would be best directed to the Cabinet meeting. Please send to [democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk) noting the following deadlines;

Questions – 5pm 28<sup>th</sup> August

Petitions/Statements – 12pm 31<sup>st</sup> August



## **7. Exclusion of Press and Public**

That under s.100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item(s) of business on the grounds that it (they) involve(s) the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 3 of Part 1 of schedule 12A of the Act.

## **8. Bristol Energy Operational Plan**

*Note – following this item the meeting will go back into open session*

**(Page 12)**

## **9. Arena Update Report**

**(Pages 13 - 14)**

## **10. Creation of the Housing Company - for Information Only**

**(Pages 15 - 16)**

## **11. Companies Governance Review Action Plan - for Information Only**

**(Pages 17 - 18)**

## **12. Work Programme**

To note the work programme.

**(Pages 19 - 22)**



# Public Information Sheet

Inspection of Papers - Local Government  
(Access to Information) Act 1985

You can find papers for all our meetings on our website at [www.bristol.gov.uk](http://www.bristol.gov.uk).

You can also inspect papers at the City Hall Reception, College Green, Bristol, BS1 5TR.

Other formats and languages and assistance  
For those with hearing impairment

You can get committee papers in other formats (e.g. large print, audio tape, braille etc) or in community languages by contacting the Democratic Services Officer. Please give as much notice as possible. We cannot guarantee re-formatting or translation of papers before the date of a particular meeting.

Committee rooms are fitted with induction loops to assist people with hearing impairment. If you require any assistance with this please speak to the Democratic Services Officer.

## Public Forum

Members of the public may make a written statement ask a question or present a petition to most meetings. Your statement or question will be sent to the Committee and be available in the meeting room one hour before the meeting. Please submit it to [democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk) or Democratic Services Section, Brunel House St Georges Road Bristol BS1 5UY. The following requirements apply:

- The statement is received no later than **12.00 noon on the working day before the meeting** and is about a matter which is the responsibility of the committee concerned.
- The question is received no later than **three clear working days before the meeting**.

Please see [www.bristol.gov.uk](http://www.bristol.gov.uk) and the '[How to Have Your Say](#)' pdf for the parameters of each individual Committee and what will happen to your submission.

Any statement submitted should be no longer than one side of A4 paper. If the statement is longer than this, then for reasons of cost, only the first sheet will be copied and made available at the meeting. For copyright reasons, we are unable to reproduce or publish newspaper or magazine articles that may be attached to statements.

By participating in public forum business, we will assume that you have consented to your name and the details of your submission being recorded and circulated to the committee. This information will also be made available at the meeting to which it relates and placed in the official minute book as a public record (available from Democratic Services).



We will try to remove personal information such as contact details. However, because of time constraints we cannot guarantee this, and you may therefore wish to consider if your statement contains information that you would prefer not to be in the public domain. Public Forum statements will not be posted on the council's website. Other committee papers may be placed on the council's website and information in them may be searchable on the internet.

### **Process during the meeting:**

- Public Forum is normally one of the first items on the agenda, although statements and petitions that relate to specific items on the agenda may be taken just before the item concerned.
- There will be no debate on statements or petitions.
- The Chair will call each submission in turn. When you are invited to speak, please make sure that your presentation focuses on the key issues that you would like Members to consider. This will have the greatest impact.
- Your time allocation may have to be strictly limited if there are a lot of submissions.
- If there are a large number of submissions on one matter a representative may be requested to speak on the groups behalf.
- If you do not attend or speak at the meeting at which your public forum submission is being taken your statement will be noted by Members.

### Webcasting/ Recording of meetings

Members of the public attending meetings or taking part in Public forum are advised that all Full Council and Cabinet meetings and some other committee meetings are now filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the council's [webcasting pages](#). The whole of the meeting is filmed (except where there are confidential or exempt items) and the footage will be available for two years. If you ask a question or make a representation, then you are likely to be filmed and will be deemed to have given your consent to this. If you do not wish to be filmed you need to make yourself known to the webcasting staff. However, the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 now means that persons attending meetings may take photographs, film and audio record the proceedings and report on the meeting (Oral commentary is not permitted during the meeting as it would be disruptive). Members of the public should therefore be aware that they may be filmed by others attending and that is not within the council's control.



**Bristol City Council**  
**(Draft) Minutes of the Call In Sub-Committee**  
**(of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board)**



**26 June 2018 at 4.00 pm**

**Members of the sub-committee present:**

Councillors: Gollop (Chair), Alexander, Hopkins, Mead, O'Rourke and Langley (substitute)

**Members who called-in the 5 June Cabinet decision – Enhancement of six of the Ardagh Tennis Courts:**

Councillors: Combley, Fodor, Denyer, Stevens and Bolton

**Cabinet Member:**

Councillor Dudd, Cabinet Member for Energy, Waste and Regulatory Services

**Officer Attendance (in full or in part):**

Kevin Slocombe (Head of Mayor's Office), Jacqui Jensen (Executive Director: Adults, Children & Education), Dr Susan Milner (Interim Director of Public Health), Nancy Rollason (Deputy Monitoring officer and Service Manager Legal), Gemma Dando (Director: Communities), Richard Fletcher (Service Manager: Communities), Guy Fishbourne (Sport & Physical Activity Development Manager) and Louise deCordova (Scrutiny Advisor)

**1. Welcome**

The attendees were welcomed to the meeting.

Councillor Gollop was elected as Chair.

**2. Declarations of interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

**3. Public forum**

The sub-committee received and noted the public forum questions and statements as follows:

**Questions:**

1. Sam Thompson – Ardagh Community Trust



The questioner was in attendance and presented her statement. The sub-committee noted that the questioner had expressed concern that information contained in the responses to her questions differed significantly from her recollection of the matters raised.

**Statements:**

1. Cllr Tom Brook
2. Cllr Claire Hiscott
3. The Parks Forum
4. Ardagh Community Trust
5. Cllr Eleanor Combley
6. Cllr Clive Stevens

Those in attendance presented their statements.

**4. Call In Cabinet Decision - Enhancement of six of the Ardagh Tennis Courts**

In accordance with the prescribed procedure, the sub-committee reviewed the Call-in of the decision as follows:

**(i) Explanation of procedure:**

The Chair explained the procedure to be followed at the meeting. The purpose of this meeting was to specifically review and assess the reasons why this decision had been called-in, and then to determine one of the three options available to the sub-committee, as follows:

- a. to take no further action; or
- b. to refer the matter back to the Cabinet, identifying specific issues for the Cabinet to consider before a final decision was taken.
- c. to refer the matter to the Full Council for a wider debate, on the basis that Full Council could decide to take no further action or to refer specific issues/recommendations for the Cabinet to consider before a final decision was taken.

The Chair advised the parties that the majority of Panel Members, having reviewed the submissions, considered that the key issues in this case were to ascertain i) whether consultation had been required in making the decision and ii) if so whether the consultation that had taken place had been sufficient.

**(ii) Presentation of the case by Councillors who called-in the decision:**

With reference to the Call-in form included with the agenda papers, Councillors who had called-in the decision presented their case and highlighted their key points as follows:



**Cllr Fodor:**

- a. In the Councillor's view, silo thinking had been evident in this decision and it had raised the concern that a focus on the sports element of the investment alone, may derail the ongoing Community Asset Transfer negotiations, and in addition, did not appear to be congruent with the Mayor's Empowering Communities Strategy.
- b. A misunderstanding over ward boundaries and relevant neighbourhood partnerships had resulted in key stakeholders in relevant communities not having adequate opportunity to raise their issues and this had exacerbated tensions.
- c. The Councillor expressed the view that a commitment to genuine consultation and partnership, working with the key stakeholders involved, had not been demonstrated.

**Cllr Combley:**

- a. In the Councillor's view there had been a lack of legitimate consultation with an active stakeholder which had been engaged in advanced negotiations with the Council for several years on the community asset transfer of the whole site. Ardagh Community Trust currently leased the site for a range of community activities and was intrinsically involved in the working of the site.
- b. The decision to withhold a number of tennis courts from the community asset transfer had not been consulted upon.
- c. As a ward councillor, the Member had not experienced the spirit of collaboration expected, once the Lawn Tennis Associations proposals had been put forward.
- d. There had been a lack of clarity over the financial imperative for the Council continuing to be responsible for maintenance of the tennis courts when there was an offer on the table for Ardagh Community Trust to absorb this cost.

**Cllr Stevens:**

- a. The Councillor referred to Lord Woolf's four legal tests for good consultation and expressed the opinion that there had been no evidence provided to demonstrate that adequate consultation had taken place.
- b. Cllr Stevens played a clip of Cllr Brooks' public forum statement from the Cabinet webcast on 5<sup>th</sup> June to illustrate his view that the relevant ward councillors considered that residents that had not felt empowered in respect of this process.

The Cabinet Member for Energy, Waste and Regulatory Services, Cllr Dudd, raised a point of order, in respect of the webcast. In response to the Cabinet Member's request, the Sub-Committee noted, that Cllr Brook's public forum statement had concluded in support of the Cabinet decision.

**(iii) The Sub-Committee asked questions of the Councillors who called-in the decision:**

In response to the Sub-Committee's questions, the following points were raised:

- a. There was no clear evidence available that identified when the Community Trust had been made aware that six courts would be removed from the CAT process.





- b. Callers-in confirmed that there had been discrepancies between the information provided at meetings and that provided via email. Meetings had not been indicative of an ongoing dialogue but more a way for the Council to impart information to the attendees.
- c. Callers-In were of the view that whilst a city wide consultation may have had merit in respect of a Sports strategy, there was a specific and key stakeholder in this particular case that warranted a degree of consultation on the proposal.
- d. It was clear that there had been some evidence of engagement, where a series of meetings had taken place, with various parties, in a variety of contexts, but there had been a lack of consistency and no evidence of records having been kept.
- e. There was concern that meaningful consultation had not fed into the decision and it was the Callers-in view that there had been a focus on increased profitability without sufficient concern for whether the decision was right for this site. The Cabinet report had stated that the City wide model was not dependent on the Ardagh Courts.
- f. It was considered that the lack of consultation had resulted in an undermining of the relationship and negotiations with the Ardagh Community Trust and there could be a risk of undermining the potential for the engagement of other community stakeholders in respect of future community asset transfer opportunities.

**(iv) Explanation of Cabinet decision by the Cabinet member and Head of Mayor’s Office, and response to issues raised by the Councillors who called-in the decision.**

Councillor Dudd, Cabinet Member for Energy, Waste and Regulatory Services provided an overview of the decision and referred to the Cabinet report which set out the basis for the decision and made the following points:

- a. The city wide operating model offered a household membership scheme and pay to play options, with the income generated to be paid into a sink fund which could be drawn down to maintain the courts as required, and this represented a sustainable model for the City.
- b. There had been no legal requirement to carry out consultation in respect of an in principal investment to refurbish a sports facility. However, they had engaged with stakeholders and ward councillors at a series of meetings.
- c. There was evidence that compromises had been made, demonstrated by the negotiation of the Lawn Tennis Association proposal which had resulted in a reduction from the inclusion of 11 courts to 6 courts.
- d. The report was positive and welcome good news in support of the city’s pro sport strategy for elite sport.

**(v) Questions asked by members of the sub-committee of the relevant Service Director / Cabinet member**

In response to the Sub-Committee’s questions, the following points were raised:



- a. It was clear that the potential for a Community Asset Transfer model on the Ardagh site added some complexity to what would normally be seen as a straight forward sports investment issue.
- b. There had been no statutory or legal impetus for consultation in respect of an in principal decision but engagement activity had been arranged with key stakeholders on at least 8 occasions. There was evidence of the decision maker having made some compromise in respect of the numbers of courts identified in the decision.
- c. It was not clear when key stakeholders had first been made aware that there was a compromise of 6 courts, but the proposal had been had been tabled during a meeting at City Hall in December 2017.
- d. A consultation in respect of a fee charging model on a number of sites had been carried out via survey questionnaire during 2016. A number of public tennis courts in the city were already operating a fee charging model.
- e. The Deputy Monitoring Officer clarified that a consideration should be given as to whether consultation was needed and if so what a fair and proportionate level of consultation might be in respect of any decision being taken on a case by case basis
- f. It was difficult for the Council to provide usage figures for open access tennis courts as there was no mechanism to collect the information. The Ardagh Trust had carried out surveys of the courts usage so were able to provide some evidence of existing usage.
- g. It was noted that several courts were unplayable, in part due to the open access nature of the facilities, and hence the need for investment in maintenance.
- h. Other areas of the city, such as Redcatch may have been broadly in favour of charging, but the community had raised concern that the charging proposal had come after significant community investment had already been made to refurbish the courts.
- i. The Chair sought the Sub-Committee's views on the option to ask the Cabinet Member if they would agree to have ongoing discussions about implementation of the in principle decision with the Ardagh Community Trust.
- j. Consensus could not be reached in discussion, and the Deputy Monitoring Officer referred the Sub-Committee to the Cabinet Decision Record and confirmed that the Sub-Committee's role was to determine whether the correct process had been followed in making the decision.
- k. In response to debate, the Chair sought further clarification on whether consultation had been required in this case. The Deputy Monitoring Officer referred the Committee to the Council's Code of Good Practice on consultation, the difference between engagement and consultation and the general principles to be applied in deciding whether consultation should take place and if so the principles of good consultation that needed to be followed.
- l. The Deputy Monitoring Officer advised that consultation would not normally be required on in principle decisions to invest in the upkeep of Council property and the Committee needed to consider all the circumstances of this case when making their decision.
- m. It was understood that the Community Asset Transfer discussions with the Ardagh Community Trust were ongoing, but it was recognised that these matters were not within the Cabinet Member for Energy, Waste and Regulatory Service's portfolio.



**(vi) General debate and decision**

In summary, the following points were raised:

- a. Members firstly considered whether consultation was required in this case. It was recognised that consultation would not usually be required on matters relating to in principle investments to enhance local facilities, and that the Officers are guided by the Council's published Consultation Guidance as to whether to consult, and if so how to do so appropriately, on a case by case basis. However, it was also recognised by the majority of Members that there was a complexity to this specific case due to the ongoing discussions with the Ardagh Community Trust in respect of their business case for a Community Asset Transfer at the same site. The Majority of Members therefore considered that a reasonable expectation of some level of consultation with key stakeholders had been warranted.
- b. Members then went on to consider whether the consultation/engagement that had taken place was sufficient. Members considered that there had been a lack of evidence presented, to support the level of engagement that had taken place, in order to be able to assess its effectiveness; in particular there had been no noting of discussion outcomes or clarity that both sides had clearly understood the evolving positions in respect of the Ardagh tennis courts. Therefore the majority of Members considered that the level of engagement had been neither sufficient nor satisfactory. The majority of members raised concern that the Council could risk undermining future negotiations with community groups if a good faith and robust process of communication could not be relied upon.
- c. Members considered that good consultation led to good decisions and the lessons learned in this case should require that future processes maintain a clear record of consultation or engagement activity.

**The Sub-Committee RESOLVED:**

**To refer the matter back to Cabinet, with issues as detailed above, for Cabinet to reconsider before taking its final decision.**

(Note: the above resolution was supported by the Chair and Cllrs O'Rourke and Hopkins. There were two Councillors Against and one Abstention).

Meeting ended at 6.35pm

**CHAIR** \_\_\_\_\_



# Overview and Scrutiny Management Board

3<sup>rd</sup> September 2018



**Title:** Bristol Energy Operational Plan

**Recommendation:**

Members to consider the attached Bristol Energy Operational Plan prior to it being received at the Cabinet meeting on the 4<sup>th</sup> September.

**Context:**

Please note that under s.100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item(s) of business on the grounds that it (they) involve(s) the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph(s) 3 (respectively) of Part 1 of schedule 12A of the Act.

**Appendices:**

Bristol Energy Operational Plan

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985

Background Papers:

Please see the full Cabinet report papers for further information.



# Overview and Scrutiny Management Board

3<sup>rd</sup> September 2018



**Title:** Arena Update Report

**Ward:** All Wards

**Recommendation:**

Members to consider the attached\* 'Arena Update Report' that will be taken at the Cabinet meeting on the 4<sup>th</sup> September



**Appendices:**

Appendix 1: Arena Up-Date Report

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985**

**Background Papers:**

Please see the full Cabinet report for further information.

# Overview and Scrutiny Management Board

3<sup>rd</sup> September 2018



**Report of:** Steve Blake, Housing Company Director

**Title:** Creation of the Housing Company

**Ward:** All Wards

**Officer Presenting Report:** N/A

**Contact Telephone Number:** 0117 357 4176

**Recommendation:**

Following on from briefing sessions provided to the Growth and Regeneration Scrutiny Commission and OSMB Members, this report is for 'information purposes' only at this meeting.

**Context:**

This is a Cabinet key decision report that will seek approval for the creation of a Housing Company wholly owned by the City Council. The decision will be taken by the Council's Executive at a Cabinet Meeting on 4<sup>th</sup> September 2018.



**Appendices:**

Appendix A: Creation of the Housing Company Cabinet Report

Appendix E: Equalities Impact Assessment - Full Form - to follow

Appendix F: Eco Impact – Assessment – to follow

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985**

**Background Papers:**

Please see the full Cabinet report papers for further information.



# Overview and Scrutiny Management Board

3<sup>rd</sup> September 2018



**Report of:** David Lawrence, Interim Shareholder Liaison Director

**Title:** Governance Review Action Plan - Bristol City Council Companies

**Ward:** All Wards

**Officer Presenting Report:** N/A

**Recommendation:**

This report is for 'information purposes' only at this OSMB meeting. OSMB Members will be provided with a comprehensive briefing session from the Shareholder Liaison Director prior to the Boards meeting on the 3<sup>rd</sup> September.

**Context:**

The attached report is a Cabinet report for the Cabinet meeting on the 4<sup>th</sup> September 2018. This report seeks to update Cabinet on the implementation of revised governance arrangements for the Bristol City Council owned trading companies, namely, Bristol Waste Company Limited, Bristol Energy Limited and Bristol Holding Limited.



**Appendices:**

**Appendix A:** Governance Review Action Plan - Bristol City Council Companies

**Appendix A1:** Further Background

**Appendix A2:** Governance Review Action Plan

**Appendix A3:** Reserved Matters

**Appendix A4:** Revised Shareholder Group

**Appendix C:** Summary of Engagement with Scrutiny

**Appendix E:** Relevance Check

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985**

**Background Papers:**

Please see the full Cabinet report papers for further information.

## Scrutiny Work Programme 2018 / 2019

| Adults, Children and Education Scrutiny Commission                 | Communities Scrutiny Commission  | Growth and Regeneration Scrutiny Commission                                       | Resources Scrutiny Commission           | Overview & Scrutiny Management Board               |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| <b>July 2018</b>   |  |   |   |  |
|  |  | <b>26th July, 6pm</b>   |   |  |
|  |  | Annual Business Report  |   |  |
|  |  | Joint Local Transport Plan  |   |  |
|  |  | Bristol Transport Strategy  |   |  |
|  |  | Cllr Threlfall Q&A / discussion session   |   |  |
|  |  | Trusts and Mutualisation Report   |   |  |
|  |  | Air Quality   |   |  |
| <b>August 2018</b>   |  |   |   |  |
|  |  | <b>13<sup>th</sup> August, 9.30 am</b>  |   |  |
| Page 19  |  | Housing Company (closed briefing and Q&A session for Commission Members and OSMB) |   |  |
| <b>September 2018</b>  |  |   |   |  |
| <b>17th September, 2pm</b>   | <b>10<sup>th</sup> September, 10am</b>   |   | <b>24<sup>th</sup> September, 3pm</b>   | <b>3<sup>rd</sup> September</b>                    |
| Strengthening Families Programme and Adverse Childhood Experiences | Waste: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bristol Waste Company</li> <li>Cleanliness of city / clean streets campaign – update</li> <li>Enforcement</li> </ul> |   | ICT Systems and Strategy                | Arena Cabinet Paper                                |
| Children’s Centres   | Community Safety statistics (Safer Bristol)  |   | Commercialisation and Income Generation | Companies Governance Review – information only     |
| Suicide (University) Clusters                                      | Directorate Performance Report (KPIs)  |   | Workforce and Performance               | Creation of the Housing Company – information only |
| Directorate Performance Report (KPIs)                              | Directorate Risk Register  |   | Finance Report                          | Bristol Energy Operational Plan                    |
| Directorate Risk Register  |  |   | Directorate Performance Report (KPI’s)  |  |

| Adults, Children and Education Scrutiny Commission   | Communities Scrutiny Commission  | Growth and Regeneration Scrutiny Commission | Resources Scrutiny Commission | Overview & Scrutiny Management Board |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>October 2018</b>  |  |   |                               |                                      |
|  |  |   |                               |                                      |
|  |  |   |                               |                                      |
|  |  |   |                               |                                      |
|  |  |   |                               |                                      |
| <b>November 2018</b>   |  |   |                               |                                      |
| <b>Date tbc November</b>   | <b>12<sup>th</sup> November 10.00 am</b>   | <b>29<sup>th</sup> November, 5pm</b>        |                               |                                      |
| Better Lives Programme <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation</li> <li>• Delayed Transfers of Care</li> <li>• Recommendations from the Adult Social Care Scrutiny Task Group</li> </ul> | Housing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Housing allocations / home choice review</li> <li>• Private rented sector / HMO regulation</li> <li>• Tackling housing crisis</li> </ul> | Harbourside Regeneration and Flood Strategy |                               |                                      |
| Female Genital Mutilation (FGM)  | Community Safety statistics (Safer Bristol) TBC  | Highways Contract Management and Delivery   |                               |                                      |
| Public Health  | Directorate Performance Report (KPIs) TBC  | Parking (TBC)                               |                               |                                      |
| Directorate Performance Report (KPI's)   | Directorate Risk Register TBC  | Directorate Performance Report (KPI's)      |                               |                                      |
| Directorate Risk Register (TBC)  |  | Directorate Risk Register (TBC)             |                               |                                      |
| <b>December 2018</b>   |  |   |                               |                                      |
|  |  |   | <b>4pm, 6th December 2018</b> |                                      |
|  |  |   | TBC                           |                                      |
|  |  |   |                               |                                      |
|  |  |   |                               |                                      |
| <b>January 2019</b>  |  |   |                               |                                      |
| <b>28th January, 2pm</b>   | <b>14<sup>th</sup> January, 10 am</b>  |   |                               |                                      |
| Thrive mental health – annual review   | CIL (update/review of new CIL committee arrangements)  |   |                               |                                      |

| Adults, Children and Education Scrutiny Commission              | Communities Scrutiny Commission   | Growth and Regeneration Scrutiny Commission | Resources Scrutiny Commission        | Overview & Scrutiny Management Board |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Mental Health<br>Recommissioning and Supporting People Services | Customer services update/review   |   |                                      |                                      |
| Winter resilience update  | Standing Items TBC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community Safety statistics (Safer Bristol)</li> <li>• Directorate Performance Report (KPIs)</li> <li>• Directorate Risk Register</li> </ul> |   |                                      |                                      |
| Directorate Performance Report (KPI's)                          |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| Directorate Risk Register (TBC)                                 |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| <b>February 2019</b>  |   |   |                                      |                                      |
|   |   | <b>21<sup>st</sup> February, 5pm</b>        | <b>28<sup>th</sup> February, 4pm</b> |                                      |
| Page 21   |   | Local Plan                                  | TBC                                  |                                      |
|   |   |   |                                      |                                      |
|   |   |   |                                      |                                      |
|   |   |   |                                      |                                      |
|   |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| <b>March 2019</b>   |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| <b>Date tbc March</b>   |   | <b>TBC</b>                                  |                                      |                                      |
| School Places – Provision, Expansion and Admissions             |   | Climate Change ID (TBC)                     |                                      |                                      |
| Bristol Hospital Education Services                             |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| School readiness risks and action plans                         |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| Learning City update  |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| Care Leavers  |   |   |                                      |                                      |
| SEND Scrutiny task group update report                          |   |   |                                      |                                      |

|  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Directorate Performance Report (KPI's)   |  |  |  |  |
| Directorate Risk Register (TBC)  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>April 2019</b>  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Items to be Scheduled</b>   |  |  |  |  |
| Quality Accounts   |  | Cross-Border Planning Issues (provisional – TBC) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee<br/>(Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire (BNSSG) : Sustainable Transformation Partnership)</b> |  |  |  |  |
| <b>26th September , 2pm (Hosted by South Gloucestershire)</b>  |  |  |  |  |
| Items in respect of the 'Healthier Together' Programme (to be confirmed)   |  |  |  |  |